



ఆంధ్ర ప్రదేశ్ రాజ పత్రము

THE ANDHRA PRADESH GAZETTE

PART-II EXTRAORDINARY

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 123]

HYDERABAD, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 8, 2012.

NOTIFICATIONS BY GOVERNMENT

—X—

FINANCE DEPARTMENT

(OP. I)

FIXATION OF SENIORITY IN THE CATEGORY OF ASSISTANT SECTION OFFICER - FINAL LIST.

Ref:

1. O.O.Rt.No.226, Finance (OP. I) Department, dated 28.02.1992
2. in O.O.No.6, Finance (OP. I) Department, dated: 19.03.2003.
3. O.O.8, Finance (OP. I) Department, dated: 22.04.2004.
4. Memo.No.33350-A/490/A1/OP. 1/2010, Finance (OP. I) Department, dated: 15.09.2011.
5. Govt. Memo.No.10592-C/422/A1/OP. 1/2011, dt.17.11.2011.
6. Representation of Sri J. Sivaiah, Section Officer and others dated 27.11.2011.
7. Representation of Sri J.Venkateswara Reddy, Section Officer and others dated 15.12.2011.
8. Representation of Sri J. Chandra Sekhar and other ASOs dated: 07.05.2012.

O.O.No.10.-In the reference fifth cited, while communicating the seniority list in the category ASO from 1992 to 2011, objections were called for from the individuals.

2. Sri J.Sivaiah, Section Officer and others, in the reference sixth cited have represented that they were selected in the category of A.S.O.s in Finance Department through Group II - B Services examination notified by APPSC and their seniority in the category of ASOs has to be fixed on the same analogy as was done in respect of direct recruit ASOs of 1983 and 1988 by taking into account the vacancies that arose between 1985 to 1992 notifications, while quoting the Orders of the Hon'ble APAT in O.A.No.424/2010, dated 13-09-2011 filed by the Direct recruit ASOs of 2002 batch of the Single Unit, wherein the Hon'ble APAT directed the Government to assess the vacancies from the year 1992, from the date on which the vacancies were last notified for the recruitment of ASOs and fix the seniority between Promotees and Direct Recruits.

3. Sri J.Venkateswara Reddy, Section Officers and others, in the reference seventh cited, have represented that in the Memo fourth cited it was shown all the ASOs from 01-01-1992 to 2011. Those individuals at Sl.No.2 to 66 were already promoted to the category of Section Officers. Some have retired and others have been promoted to the category of Asst. Secretary and Accounts Officers in and outside the regular line. They have stated that it would be arbitrary to examine the grievances of some vested interest parties to revise the

settled seniority after a lapse of one and half decade. Since several DPCs were conducted for promotion from the category of ASOs to Section Officers and the seniority list was placed before the DPCs of these years. There is no need for fresh consideration of the claims that have been formerly settled. Any revision of the settled seniority of more than three years is illegal as per the orders of Supreme Court of India, which was elucidated in the Cir.Memo. 57759/Ser.A/2004-1 dated:20-05-2004 of General Administration Department that no request for seniority for a period which is more than 3 years old shall be considered.

4. According to Rule 8 (1) of A.P. Secretariat Service Rules, “in case no direct recruits are available at the time a vacancy has occurred, it has to be presumed that the two (2) vacancies meant for direct recruits will have to be carried forward by filling the remaining six (6) vacancies by transferees and promotees respectively”. According to Rule 16 (a) of A.P. State and Subordinate Service Rules, 1996 “A person appointed in accordance with the rules, otherwise than under Rule 10, by direct recruitment shall commence his probation from the date of his joining the duty or from such other date as may be specified by the appointing authority”.

5. According to Rule 33(a) of A.P. State and Subordinate Service Rules, 1996 “the seniority of a person in a service, class, category or grade shall, unless he has been reduced to a lower rank as punishment, be determined by the date of his first appointment to such service, class, category or grade”.

6. The Government, keeping in view several pronouncements of the Apex court clarified that the Quota/Rota, if any prescribed in the said Special Rules/ad-hoc Rules shall apply only for determining the number of vacancies earmarked for recruitment by promotion and appointment by transfer and for direct recruitment and not for determining their inter-se seniority. Their inter-se seniority shall be determined in accordance with General Rule 33 (a) and (b) of the A.P. State and Subordinate Service Rules vide G.O.Ms.No.607, G.A. (Ser.A) Department, dated 06-11-1992.

7. The Government have further issued instructions vide Cir. Memo No.16 of General Administration (Ser.B) Department dated:21-04-1999, inter-alia, that the seniority of a direct recruit is to be determined only from the date of his/her joining duty but not from the date on which the vacancy earmarked for a direct recruitment arose. As per clarification at para 14 (b) of Circular Memo.No.16/Ser.A/93-39, G.A.(Ser.A) Department, dated 21-04-1999 the seniority of a direct recruit is to be determined from the date of his / her

joining only, but not from the date on which the vacancy earmarked for a direct recruit arose.

As per clarification at 14 (c) of the said memo, if an Employee is promoted to a post earmarked for direct recruitment; his promotion shall not be commenced from the date of his appointment into the slot earmarked for direct recruit but shall be reckoned only from the date on which he could have occupied the vacancy meant for promotees.

8. Further, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India have delivered number judgments for determination of seniority between the promotees and direct recruits. The following are observations of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its very recent order dated 18-02-2011 in the case of **Pawan Pratap Singh & Ors. v. Reevan Singh & Ors.**

“A two-Judge Bench of this Court in Jagdish Ch. Patnaik, while construing the word ‘recruited’ occurring in Orissa Service of Engineers Rules, 1941, held that a direct recruit is recruited when formal appointment order is issued and not when recruitment process is initiated. This is what this Court said:

“The only other contention which requires consideration is the one raised by Mr Raju Ramachandran, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the intervenors, to the effect that the expressions “recruitment” and “appointment” have two different concepts in the service jurisprudence and, therefore, when Rule 26 uses the expression “recruited” it must be a stage earlier to the issuance of appointment letter and logically should mean when the selection process started and that appears to be the intendment of the rule-makers in Rule 26. We are, however, not persuaded to accept this contention since under the scheme of Rules a person can be said to be recruited into service only on being appointed to the rank of Assistant Engineer, as would appear from Rule 5 and Rule 6. Then again in case of direct recruits though the process of recruitment starts when the Public Service Commission invites applications under Rule 10 but until and unless the Government makes the final selection under Rule 15 and issues appropriate orders after the selected candidates are examined by the Medical Board, it cannot be said that a person has been recruited to the service. That being the position it is difficult for us to hold that in the seniority rule the expression “recruited” should be interpreted to mean when the selection process really started. That apart the said expression “recruited” applies not only to the direct recruits but also to the promotees. In case of direct recruits the process of recruitment starts with

the invitation of application by the Commission and in case of promotees it starts with the nomination made by the Chief Engineer under Rule 16. But both in the case of direct recruits as well as in the case of promotees the final selection vests with the State Government under Rules 15 and 18 respectively and until such final selection is made and appropriate orders passed thereon no person can be said to have been recruited to the service. In this view of the matter the only appropriate and logical construction that can be made of Rule 26 is the date of the order under which the persons are appointed to the post of Assistant Engineer, is the crucial date for determination of seniority under the said Rule....."

In Ajit Kumar Rath, this Court followed Jagdish Ch. Patnaik and did not accept the contention that those who were appointed against the vacancies of the earlier years although, appointed later in point of time, must rank senior to the appointees of the vacancies of the subsequent years though appointed in prior point of time.

This Court emphasized in the case of Uttaranchal Forest Rangers' Association that no retrospective promotion can be granted nor any seniority can be given on retrospective basis from a date when an employee was not even borne in the cadre. In this regard, the Court relied upon earlier decisions of this Court in State of Bihar & Ors. v. Akhouri Sachindra Nath & Ors. and Jagdish Ch. Patnaik.

In the case of Dinesh Kumar Sharma, the Court was concerned with U.P. Agriculture Group 'B' Service Rules, 1995 and the 1991 Rules. With reference to rule 8 of the 1991 Rules, the Apex Court held that seniority cannot be reckoned from the date of occurrence of the vacancy and should be reckoned only from the date of substantive appointment to the vacant post under the Rules and not retrospectively from the date of occurrence of vacancy.

Constitution Bench of this Court in Direct Recruit Class II Engineering Officers' Association v. State of Maharashtra & Ors. stated the legal position with regard to inter se seniority of direct recruits and promotees and while doing so, inter alia, it was stated that once an incumbent is appointed to a post according to rules, his seniority has to be counted from the date of his appointment and not according to the date of his confirmation.

9. From the above, the legal position with regard to determination of seniority in service can be summarized as follows:

- (i) **The effective date of selection has to be understood in the light of the service rules under**

which the appointment is made. It may mean the date on which the process of selection starts with the issuance of advertisement or the factum of preparation of the select list, as the case may be.

- ii) **Inter se seniority in a particular service has to be determined as per the service rules. The date of entry in a particular service or the date of substantive appointment is the safest criterion for fixing seniority inter se between one officer or the other or between one group of officers and the other recruited from the different sources. Any departure therefrom in the statutory rules, executive instructions or otherwise must be consistent with the requirements of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution.**
- (iii) **Ordinarily, notional seniority may not be granted from the back and if it is done, it must be based on objective considerations and on a valid classification and must be traceable to the statutory rules.**
- (iv) **The seniority cannot be reckoned from the date of occurrence of the vacancy and cannot be given retrospectively unless it is so expressly provided by the relevant service rules. It is so because seniority cannot be given on retrospective basis when an employee has not even born in the cadre and by doing so it may adversely affect the employees who have been appointed validly in the mean time.**

10. Direct Recuritee Assistant Section Officers were allotted by the APPSC during the panel years 1978-79, 1982-83, 1988-89, 1995-96 and 2004-2005. The seniority of Direct Recruits, promotees and transferees in the category of Assistant Section Officer from 1965-1988 and was settled vide O.O.Rt.No.226, dated: 28.02.1992 and in O.O.No.6, dated: 19.03.2003. The seniority of Assistant Section Officers from 1988 to 1995 batch was also settled vide O.O. No. 8, dated: 22.04.2004. The Direct Recruit ASO's of 1995 batch have again represented for review of their seniority.

11. Sri J. Sivaiah and other ASOs of 1995 batch DR's have represented in their letter dated: 10.01.2002 that certain TCAs were promoted as ASOs in the vacancies identified for direct recruitment and the promotee ASOs shall be placed below the direct recruit ASOs as they occupied the direct recruitment quota on the date of their joining in the Department. The issue was examined in detail with reference to Circular Memo.No.16/Ser.A/93-39, dated: 21.04.1999 of G.A.(Ser.A) Department and also in consultation with General Administration Department and orders in O.O.

No.8, Finance (OP.I) Department, dated: 22.05.2004 and identified that the following were promoted in the quota of direct recruitment and therefore were placed below the last direct recruit ASO of 1995 batch:

1. Sri P.Veda Prakash
2. Sri A.Satyanarayana
3. Smt K.S.Ranganayakamma
4. Sri V.Venugopala Rao
5. Sri N.Chandra Rao

12. Sri J.Sivaiah and other direct recruit Assistant Section Officers of 1995 batch have neither raised any objections to the above seniority fixed in O.O.No.8, Finance (OP.I) Department, dated: 22.04.2004 nor represented further in the matter. Based on the said orders, Sri J.Sivaiah and other direct recruit ASOs of 1995 were promoted to the category of Section Officers in the year 2006. These direct recruit ASOs did not raise any objection at the time of their promotions as Section Officers and joined and taken further promotions also as Accounts Officers.

13. Further, the Government have also examined the representation of Sri J. Sivaiah, R. Ravi, and other Direct recruit ASOs of 1995 batch with reference to the clarification issued under 14 (c) of Memo. No. 16/Ser.A/93-39, G.A.(Ser.A) Department, dated: 21.04.1999 and in consultation with the General Administration Department and rejected the claim for want of merit vide Memo.No.33350-A/490/A1/OP.I/2010 dated: 15.09.2011, duly observing that their seniority in the category of ASO was fixed by taking into account their date of joining as ASOs in accordance with the Rule 33 (a) of A.P. State and Subordinate Service Rules, 1996 and they were already promoted to the category of Section Officers in the year 2006 itself. As such, the question of determining their seniority in the category of ASOs does not arise now and further **no request for review and revision of seniority for a period which is more than 3 years old shall be considered as per the rules cited above.**

14. The procedure and principles as laid down under the following Rules / orders / clarification / judgements for finalization of seniority list of Assistant Section Officers have been carefully examined:

1. A.P.State and Subordinate Service Rules, 1996.
2. A.P. Secretariat Service Rules.
3. G.O.Ms.No.607, G.A. (Ser.A) Department, dt: 06.11.1992.
4. Memo.No.16/Ser.A/93-39, G.A.(Ser.A) Department, dated: 21.04.1999.

5. Various judgement pronounced by the Hon'ble Apex Court and A.P.Administrative Tribunal on seniority issues.

6. APPSC allotment orders in respect of Direct Recruits

7. Transferees and Promotees list as per their quota in cycle.

15. The objections of Direct Recruit Assistant Section Officers of 2005 batch and the representation of Sri J.Chandra Sekhar, A.S.O., and other Section Officers have been examined with reference to clarification issued under 14 (c) of Memo.No.16/Ser.A/93-39, G.A.(Ser.A) Department, dated: 21.04.1999.

16. During the panel years from 1995-96 to 2004-2005, 80 vacancies had arisen. The basis for arriving the vacancies for each panel are as per the retirement of incumbents and the posts sanctioned/created. Out of the said vacancies 16 vacancies were purely temporary that were created vide G.O.Ms.No.383, Finance (SMPC) Department, Dated: 02.04.2004 for one year for the purpose of PRC 2005 only. As such they were not treated as regular vacancies as the said posts automatically ceases as and when the purpose is completed. Hence, 64 vacancies are identified as regular vacancies.

17. The vacancy position is computed duly examining the objections received against the tentative seniority published vide Memo. 5th cited. The details of regular vacancies in the category of Assistant Section Officer from the panel years from 1995-96 to 2004-2005 are as follows:

Panel year	Promotees	Direct Recruitment	Transferees	Total
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1995-96	4	2	1	7
1996-97	4	2	1	7
1997-98	9	4	2	15
1998-99	-	-	-	-
1999-2000	1	-	-	1
2000-01	9	4	3	16
2001-02	4	2	-	6
2002-03	5	2	1	8
2003-04	1	-	1	2
2004-05	-	2	-	2
Total	37	18	9	64

18. Accordingly, 8 vacancy cycle has been adopted and 8 cycles were completed. As per the cycle, 18 vacancies were earmarked for Direct Recruittees and 46 vacancies were earmarked for promotees / transferees. Out of 64 regular vacancies between the panel year 1995-1996 and 2004-2005, 46 promotee / transferee Assistant Section Officers i.e., upto Sri E.V.V.Subba Rao have occupied the vacancies meant for promotee/ transferee Assistant Section Officers. The remaining persons have occupied the vacancies meant for direct recruitment candidates.

19. Therefore, the direct recruit batch of 2005 are placed below Sri E.V.V.Subba Rao and from Sri K.Somaiah, Assistant Section Officer to Smt R.Nagajyothi, A.S.O.,

are placed below Sri Ch.Sudha, ASO (last DR of 2005 batch) as per 14 (c) of Circular Memo.No.16Ser.A/93-39, G.A.(Ser.A) Department, dated: 21.04.1999.

20. since 2005-06 panel year onwards, there were no promotions to the category of Assistant Section Officer violating the quota/rota rules and all vacancies more than 50% were notified for the direct recruitment. Hence, the question of occupying by promotees in direct recruitment vacancy does not arise.

21. Therefore, Government after careful examination of the matter with reference to the rules in vogue hereby notify the final list of seniority in the category of Assistant Section Officers in the annexure Appended to this order.

ANNEXURE

FINAL SENIORITY LIST OF DIRECT RECRUITEE A.S.O's/ PROMOTEE A.S.O's W.e.f. 01-01-1988 to 2012

Sl.No.	Name S/Sri/Smt.	Date of appointment as Assistant Section Officer	Date of regularization / Proposed date of regularization
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	Sri D. Bhaktavastsalam	26-12-1985 (DR, Resigned from Job)	26-12-1985
2	Smt. A. Koteswaramma	01-08-1992	01-08-1992
3	Smt. G.V.D. Lakshmi	01-08-1992	01-08-1992
4	Smt. S. Suvatha Rani	01-08-1992	01-08-1992
5	B. Savithri	01-08-1992	01-08-1992
6	T. Lakshminarayana	01-08-1992	01-08-1992
7	Ch. Krishna Reddy	01-08-1992	01-08-1992
8	K.V.G.V. Subrahmanyam	01-08-1992	01-08-1992
9	K. John Deevan Raj	01-08-1992	01-08-1992
10	P. Sreerami Reddy	01-08-1992	01-08-1992
11	V.Y.V.V.R.A. Sarma	01-08-1992	01-08-1992
12	J. Venkateswara Reddy	07-08-1992	07-08-1992
13	B.V.V. Rama Krishna Rao	07-08-1992	07-08-1992
14	S. Uma Devi	07-09-1992	07-09-1992
15	S. Ansar Ahmed	09-10-1992	09-10-1992
16	B. Jayappa	09-10-1992	09-10-1992
17	M. Narsing Rao	16-10-1992	16-10-1992
18	D.V. Janardhanam	12-01-1993	12-01-1993
19	P.A.V. Prasada Rao	12-01-1993	12-01-1993
20	M. Vasudeva Sastry	18-02-1993	18-02-1993

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
21	S.M.L. Nagamamba	18-02-1993	18-02-1993
22	P. Ramamallikarjuna Rao	18-02-1993	18-02-1993
23	S. Nirmala	18-02-1993	18-02-1993
24	G. Naveen Kumar	18-02-1993	18-02-1993
25	J. Vedavyasa Rao	18-02-1993	18-02-1993
26	M.V. Ramanaiah	18-02-1993	18-02-1993
27	P. Sudhakar	26-02-1993	26-02-1993
28	B.S. Rama Krishna	26-02-1993	26-02-1993
29	T.V. Premnath	30-04-1993	30-04-1993
30	V.V.V.S. Prasad	30-04-1993	12-08-1995
31	D. Vijayakumari	10-05-1993	10-05-1993
32	S. Santhi Kumari	22-06-1993	22-06-1993
33	J. Sivaiah	08-08-1995 (DR)	08-08-1995
34	R. Ravi	07-08-1995 (DR)	08-08-1995
35	M. Yogi Reddy	08-08-1995 ((DR)	08-08-1995
36	Ch. Srinivasulu	11-08-1995 (DR)	11-08-1995
37	P. Veda Prakash	07-10-1995	07-10-1995
38	A. Satyanarayana	07-10-1995	07-10-1995
39	K.S. Ranganayakamma	07-10-1995	07-10-1995
40	V. Venugopal Rao	07-10-1995	07-10-1995
41	N. Chandra Rao	07-10-1995	07-10-1995
	Seniority settled upto Sl.No.41 as per O.O.N.8, dated: 22-04-2004	Entry date of appointment	Date of regular appointment
42	S.S. Sudhakar	19-06-1996	19-06-1996
43	M.P. Madhuri	18-02-1997	18-02-1997
44	O. Vishwanadha Sarma	18-02-1997	18-02-1997
45	G. Siva Krishna	18-02-1997	18-02-1997
46	T. Indira	18-02-1997	18-02-1997
47	Y. Siva Rama Krishna	18-02-1997	18-02-1997
48	P.V. Vijaya Lakshmi	18-02-1997	18-02-1997
49	G.V.S. Subrahmanyam	18-02-1997	18-02-1997
50	P. Satyanarayana	18-02-1997	18-02-1997
51	P.J.S. Venkateswara Rao	18-02-1997	18-02-1997
52	Nusrat Hussian	18-02-1997	18-02-1997
53	S. Venkateswara Rao	18-02-1997	18-02-1997
54	E. Suresh Babu	18-02-1997	18-02-1997
55	E.V.V. Nageswara Rao	18-02-1997	18-02-1997

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
56	A. Venkateswara Rao	16-08-1997	16-08-1997
57	J. Sailaja	16-08-1997	16-08-1997
58	K. Ramatheertham	01-10-1997	01-10-1997
59	M. Appa Rao	01-10-1997	01-10-1997
60	I.S.V.S. Subrahmanyam	01-10-1997	01-10-1997
61	D. Janaki Ramaiah	01-10-1997	01-10-1997
62	B. Srinivasulu	01-10-1997	01-10-1997
63.	K. Dharmiah	10-10-1997	10-10-1997
64.	A. Venkata Lakshmi	11-09-1998	11-09-1998
65	Mohd. Riyazuddin	15-10-1998	15-10-1998
66	T. Devender	03-09-1999	03-09-1999
67	S. Dakshayani	12-11-1999	12-11-1999
68	K.U.M. Gupta	12-11-1999	12-11-1999
69	V. Padma Rao	12-11-1999	12-11-1999
70	M. Parasu Raman	12-11-1999	12-11-1999
71	M. Potha Raju	17-08-2000	17-08-2000
72	P. Geetha	30-10-2000	30-10-2000
73	E. Naga Madhavi	23-01-2001	23-01-2001
74	D. Madhusudhana Reddy	16-02-2001	16-02-2001
75	N. Venkateswarlu	16-02-2001	16-02-2001
76	P. Roopa Rani	19-04-2001	19-04-2001
77	P. Praveen Kumar	30-06-2001	30-06-2001
78	M. Nehru Naidu	30-06-2001	30-06-2001
79	M. Sujatha	30-06-2001	30-06-2001
80	K. Adinarayana	24-04-2002	24-04-2002
81	K.V. Krishna Rao	25-06-2002	25-06-2002
82	E.V.V. Subba Rao	18-10-2002	18-10-2002
83	Y. Veeraja Babu	01-06-2005 (DR)	01-06-2005
84	D. Prasanthi	01-07-2005 (DR)	01-07-2005
85	K. Padmavathi	28-05-2005 (DR)	28-05-2005
86	K. Samuel Jublee	03-06-2005 (DR)	03-06-2005
87	C.R.V.S.N. Murthy	03-06-2005 (DR)	03-06-2005
88	B. Gunwanth Rao	15-06-2005 (DR)	15-06-2005
89	G. Krishna Veni	18-06-2005 (DR)	18-06-2005
90	P. Sankarappa	17-08-2005 (DR)	17-08-2005
91	P. Anna Rao	17-06-2005 (DR)	17-06-2005
92	Ch. Sudha	22-06-2005 (DR)	22-06-2005

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
93	K. Somaiah	23-10-2002	23-06-2005
94	J. Chandra Sekhar	22-05-2003 (on Adhoc basis as the individual has not completed minimum service of three years in the category of TCA)	23-06-2005
95	Iqbal Hussain	22-05-2003(on Adhoc basis as the individual has not completed minimum service of three years in the category of TCA)	23-06-2005
96	B. Madhavi	22-05-2003(on Adhoc basis as the individual has not completed minimum service of three years in the category of TCA)	23-06-2005
97	P. Nageswara Rao	26-09-2003	23-06-2005
98	A.V. Subba Rao	16-03-2004	23-06-2005
99	S. Anasuya Sri Devi	17-03-2004	23-06-2005
100	R. Naga Jyothi	30-03-2005	23-06-2005
101	M. Rama Devi	10-03-2006	10-03-2006
102	M.S.R. Sai Seshu	19-04-2006	19-04-2006
103	C. Vijaya Lakshmi	27-09-2006 (DR)	27-09-2006
104	C. Harish Kumar Reddy	21-07-2007 (DR)	21-07-2007
105	Kum. N. Nagamani	29-11-2007 (DR)	29-11-2007
106	K. Chandra Kala	26-11-2007 (DR)	26-11-2007
107	M. Sajit Kumar Reddy	27-11-2007 (DR)	27-11-2007
108	P.Y.B. Rajesh Kumar	16-11-2007 (DR)	16-11-2007
109	C. Bhanu Prasad	01-12-2007 (DR)	01-12-2007
110	N. Ravi Sankar	26-11-2007 (DR)	26-11-2007
111	R. Seethamaha Lakshmi	01-12-2007 (DR)	01-12-2007
112	A. Sreenu	20-11-2007 (DR)	20-11-2007
113	R. Mojiram Naik	29-11-2007 (DR)	29-11-2007
114	R. Ramakrishna	23-11-2007 (DR)	23-11-2007
115	B. Lakshmaiah	23-11-2007 (DR)	23-11-2007
116	K.V. Koteswara Rao	22-11-2007 (DR)	22-11-2007
117	V. Vara Lakshmi	19-12-2007	19-12-2007
118	Shaik Tayyab	15-07-2008	15-07-2008
119	G. Venkateswarlu	27-11-2009	27-11-2009
120	L. Venkata Lakshmi	30-01-2010	30-01-2010
121	S. Joshika	24-06-2010	24-06-2010
122	P. Vasumathi	05-08-2010 (DR)	05-08-2010
123	N. Naga Lalitha Devi	27-08-2010 (DR)	27-08-2010

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
124	V. Tirumala Reddy	18-08-2010 (DR)	18-08-2010
125	L. Krishna Naik	19-08-2010 (DR)	19-08-2010
126	N. Mangamma	11-08-2010 (DR)	11-08-2010
127	D. Sudhakar	23-08-2010 (DR)	23-08-2010
128	P. Jyothi	11-08-2010 (DR)	11-08-2010
129	K. Rajesh	05-08-2010 (DR)	05-08-2010
130	A. Surya Prakash Reddy	19-08-2010 (DR)	19-08-2010
131	P. Shyam Sunder	26-08-2010 (DR)	26-08-2010
132	M. Sudhakar Reddy	12-08-2010 (DR)	12-08-2010
133	K. Ramesh	12-08-2010 (DR)	12-08-2010
134	Shaik Jawahar Muneer	31-08-2010 (DR)	31-08-2010
135	N. Srilakshmi	12-08-2010 (DR)	12-08-2010
136	J. Vinod Kumar	11-08-2010 (DR)	11-08-2010
137	P. Padma	12-08-2010 (DR)	12-08-2010
138	Ch. Gnana Venkata Rama	26-08-2010 (DR)	26-08-2010
139	K. Vara Prasad	21-04-2011	21-04-2011
140	K.Ranjeeth	21.05.2012	21.05.2012

A copy of this order is also available on the internet and can be accessed at the address www.apfinance.gov.in

Hyderabad,
07-06-2012.

V. BHASKAR,
Principal Secretary to Government.

To
All the individuals.

—X—